FILED

DEC 1 5 2005

1	U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURTE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
3		
4	In re:	
5	THE ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH OF) No. 4-04-bk-04721-JMM DIOCESE OF TUCSON aka THE)	
6	DIOCESE OF TUCSON, an Arizona Corporation sole, DIOCESE OF TUCSON, an Arizona (A provided the	
7) MOTION TO DISMISS) Debtor.	
8	PHILIP GREGORY SPEERS, (Opinion to Post)	
9	Plaintiff,	
10	VS.) THE DOMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH OF)	
11	THE ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH OF) DIOCESE OF TUCSON,)	
12	Defendant.)	
13		
14	The court has before it a motion to dismiss filed by the Defendant/Debtor in this case, to	
15	dismiss the Plaintiff's Complaint.	
16	The Complaint is in violation of Rule 1001 of the FED. R. OF BANKR. P. That rule	
17	provides, regarding all civil actions in bankruptcy courts:	
18		
19	nroceeding	
20		
21	The state of the s	
22	(Emphasis supplied.) Rule 1001 is applicable to all adversary proceedings in bankruptcy court. It	
23	mirrors, in substance, Rule 1 of the FED. R. OF CIV. P.	
24	Each pleading is required to be simple, concise, and direct. FED. R. OF BANKR. P.	
25	7008(e)(1). Moreover, FED. R. OF CIV. P. 8(a) mandates that a claim for relief "shall contain a shor	
26	and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief."	

h:\wp\orders\diocese

The Complaint herein violates these rules. It is:

- 74 pages long;
- Contains 20 counts, and
- Consists of 468 separately numbered paragraphs.

Moreover, the Complaint is disorganized and rambling.

A federal court has the power to dismiss a complaint when a plaintiff fails to comply with the rules of civil procedure, including FED. R. OF CIV. P. 8(a)(2)'s "short and plain statement" requirement. *Kuehl v. F.D.I.C.*, 8 F.3d 905 (1st Cir. 1993).

FED. R. OF CIV. P. 8(a) requires parties to make their pleadings straightforward, so that judges and adverse parties need not try to fish a gold coin from a bucket of mud. *U.S. ex rel. Garst v. Lockheed-Martin Corp.*, 328 F.3d 374, 378 (7th Cir. 2003).

A court has discretion to *sua sponte* require a plaintiff to comply with FED. R. OF CIV. P. 8(a)(2). Fikes v. City of Daphne, et al., 79 F.3d 1079, 1083, Fn. 6 (11th Cir. 1996). See also Johnson Enterprises of Jacksonville, Inc. v. FPL Group, Inc. et al., 162 F.3d 1290, 1332, Fn. 94 (11th Cir. 1998).

Neither the court nor the Defendant should be required to try to plow through the Plaintiff's Complaint in an attempt to get the matter disposed of on its merits.

Accordingly, the court will enter the following orders:

- 1. The Plaintiff is ORDERED to file an amended complaint which complies with Rules 8 and 10 of the FED. R. OF CIV. P. (made applicable to bankruptcy proceedings by FED. R. OF BANKR. P. 7008 and 7010) within 20 days;
- 2. If the Plaintiff fails to file such an amended complaint within such period, his Complaint shall be dismissed with prejudice; and

25

26

The Defendant's motion to dismiss is DENIED, as moot, without 3. prejudice to re-urging the motion should the Plaintiff comply with this court's order to file an amended complaint. A separate order will issue. DATED: December 14, 2005. UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE COPIES served as indicated below this 15 day of December, 2005, upon:

Susan G. Boswell and Kasey C. Nye Quarles & Brady Streich Lang LLP One South Church Avenue, Suite 1700 Tucson, Arizona 85701-1621 sboswell@quarles.com knye@quarles.com Attorneys for Debtor	Lowell E. Rothschild and Michael McGrath Mesch, Clark & Rothschild, P.C. 259 North Meyer Avenue Tucson, Arizona 85701-1090 lrothschild@mcrazlaw.com mmcgrath@mcrazlaw.com Attorneys for Roman Catholic Parishes
C. Taylor Ashworth and Alisa C. Lacey Stinson Morrison Hecker LLP 1850 North Central Avenue, Suite 2100 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4584 tashworth@stinsonmoheck.com rmcgee@stinsonmoheck.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Christopher J. Pattock Office of the U.S. Trustee 230 North First Avenue, Suite 204 Phoenix, Arizona 85003-1706 christopher.j.pattock@usdoj.gov
Lynne M. Cadigan and Kim E. Williamson Cadigan & Williamson, PLLC 504 South Stone Avenue Tucson, Arizona 85701 lmcadigan@qwest.net kewilliamson@uswest.net Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Sally M. Darcy McEvoy, Daniels & Darcy, P.C. 4560 East Camp Lowell Drive Tucson, Arizona 85712 darcysm@aol.com Attorneys for Unknown Claims Representative
Charles L. Arnold Frazer Ryan Goldberg Arnold & Gittler 3101 North Central Avenue, Suite 1600 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2615 carnold@frgaglaw.com Guardian Ad Litem	A. Bates Butler Fennemore Craig, P.C. One South Church Avenue, Suite 100 Tucson, Arizona 85701 bbutler@fclaw.com Unknown Claims Representative

h:\wp\orders\diocese

h:\wp\orders\diocese